

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,892	08/20/2003	Michael C. Bednarek	11531US.01	8201
33486	7590 08/17/2005		EXAMINER	
HEIMBECHER & ASSOCIATES, LLC.			TOY, ALEX B	
390 UNION I SUITE 650	BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAKEWOOI	O, CO 80228-6512		3739	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			1451 6			
Office Action Summary	10/645,892	BEDNAREK, MICH	IAEL U.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Alex B. Toy	th the correspondence add	dress			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	ur are correspondence au	21 033			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co	r. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 /						
	 -					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parie Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-31 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,—						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list. 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Practices Cited (PTO-032) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0. Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/645,892

Art Unit: 3739

Election/Restrictions

The following is a supplemental Election/Restriction Action to clarify the examiner's first Election/Restriction Action sent on July 26, 2005.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-26, drawn to an ablation catheter, classified in class 606, subclass 41.
- II. Claim 27, drawn to a method of manufacturing an ablation catheter, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- III. Claims 28-31, drawn to a method of ablation, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as extruding the catheter around the braided electrode to embed the braided electrode into the catheter.

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3739

806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as coagulating tissue.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and process of using an apparatus. They are distinct methods in that the apparatus in the method of using does not require the apparatus in the method of making. The method of making specifically calls for a first and a second shaft that are not required in the method of using the apparatus.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Figs. 3A-3C.

Species II, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Fig. 3D.

Species III, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Figs. 4A-4C and 5.

Species IV, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Figs. 6-8A.

Species V, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Fig. 9.

Species VI, the embodiment of the ablation catheter shown in Fig. 11.

Figures 2 and 10 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,892

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-14, 18-19, 23-24, and 26 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex B. Toy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1953. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AT #1 8/11/05

MICHAEL PEFFLEY